



17-19-05

DACI
TFW\$

December 14, 2005

Commissioner For Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir,

This correspondence is in response to the mailing applicant received having been mailed from the USPTO September, 27 2005 entitled "Notice of Allowance and Fees Due" Upon proper Applicant and Patent Application identification, applicant should like to formally acknowledge and make comment on the "Substance of the Interview" as conducted by Examiner Sawhney, Hargobind S.

Application Number: 10/800,304
Name of Applicant: James Marshall Stoddard
Name of Examiner: Sawhney, Hargobind S.
Date of Interview: 14 September 2005
Type of Interview: Telephone Conversation
Name of Participants: James M. Stoddard, Sawhney, Hargobind S.
No exhibit or demonstration was performed or necessary
No prior art was discussed
Agreement status: There was an agreement reached and I wish to validate the exact report as prepared and issued by Examiner Sawhney. (see attached)

I should also like to express my appreciation and regards to Mr. Sawhney for his patience and dedication to his work.

Please accept this letter with the attached payment as sufficient correspondence from me to initiate official issuance of the patent in question. I am available on a daily basis should there be further need of clarification.

Respectfully submitted,

James M. Stoddard
970 824 7957 970 326 8100 970 326 3860



December 14, 2005

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sirs;

I should like to reference application #10/800,304 as my own.

I can attest to and recall a phone conversation I had with examiner Sawhney regarding this application and the wisdom of electing to have examined only claims 1 through 4 as claims 5 and 6 relate to transformers, a separate category or art unit. I was encouraged to consider that they remain with value but need to be separate from the nature of claims 1 through 4. I elected to do so with appropriate language and written correspondence.

I can attest to and recall a discussion by phone with Mr. Sawhney regarding the specific phrasing of independent Claim 1. Through that discussion I clearly decided to authorize examiner to re-phrase the limitations in Claim 1. I have reviewed the amendment and will accept the changes as presented in the examiner's amendment with one clarification. The phrasing on page three of examiner's amendment, second line, reads

receptacle"with—said electrical plug is insertable in said an electrical


Is the second to the last word "an" in error? I am suspicious that it is a typographical error and does not reflect the intentions of the examiner.

Beyond this observation, I am completely satisfied with the account presented.

Please correspond with me regarding any aspect of this process at your convenience.

Sincerely,

James M. Stoddard



Notice of Allowability

Application No.

10/800,304

Examiner

Hargobind S. Sawhney

Applicant(s)

STODDARD, JAMES MARSHALL

Art Unit

2875

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to the response filed on 8/26/05.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-4.
3. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
 5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☒ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date 3/12/04
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date 9/14/2005
7. ☒ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other _____